

## APPENDIX 3

### Hugglescote village: Potential conservation area

#### Summary of public consultation responses

Consultee	Consultee's response	NWLDC officer comments
Member of the public Grange Road	Online comment 15 June. Supported the proposed conservation area because it would conserve the area's "historical buildings and lovely countryside".	Agreed.
Parish Councillor Steve Palmer	Online comment 5 July. Did not support the proposed conservation area because it would be "a waste of time". Believed that "conservation areas are not considered when applications come before the council".	Not agreed. In the exercise of its planning functions, the council has a legal duty to pay "special attention" to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of a conservation area. The National Planning Policy Framework advises the council to give "great weight" to the conservation of designated heritage assets, which include conservation areas.

Consultee	Consultee's response	NWLDC officer comments
<p>Member of the public Old Woodhouse</p>	<p>Letter 10 July. Supported the proposed conservation area – “thrilled”.</p> <p>Recommended the inclusion of the Community Centre (former National School) in the conservation area. Explained the building’s links with the First World War, including its link with fourteen of the “first fifty” volunteer soldiers and its link with Arthur Choyce, the county’s “Great War poet”.</p>	<p>Agreed.</p> <p>Not agreed. The former school appears to meet our <i>criteria for identifying local heritage assets</i> and may be suitable for inclusion on our <i>list of local heritage assets</i>. However, the former school is separated from the proposed conservation area by five pairs of Edwardian semi-detached houses; as such it does not form part of an area of special architectural or historic interest.</p>
<p>District Councillor Russell Johnson</p>	<p>Letter 19 July. Recommended the inclusion of the Church of St John the Baptist in the conservation area.</p>	<p>Not agreed. The church is a grade II* listed building. It is separated from the proposed conservation area by a cul-de-sac of post-war houses; as such it does not form part of an area of special architectural or historic interest.</p>
<p>Member Heritage Society</p>	<p>Online comment 20 July. Supported the proposed conservation area. Believed that “the boundary seems reasonable” and noted the “good appraisal”.</p>	<p>Agreed.</p>

Consultee	Consultee's response	NWLDC officer comments
<p>Householder Dennis Street</p>	<p>Online comment 29 July (late response). Supported the proposed conservation area.</p> <p>Recommended the inclusion of Millfield Recreation Ground in the conservation area.</p>	<p>Agreed.</p> <p>Not agreed. The recreation ground was laid out c.1970 on an artificial plateau; it does not contribute to the area's architectural or historic interest.</p>
<p>Householder 1 St John's Close</p>	<p>Online comment 29 July (late response). Supported the proposed conservation area. Found the appraisal "very detailed and interesting".</p> <p>Recommended the inclusion of the Church of St John the Baptist in the conservation area.</p> <p>Queried the inclusion of 1 and 3 St John's Close in the conservation area.</p>	<p>Agreed.</p> <p>Not agreed. Please refer to Cllr Johnson's consultation response above.</p> <p>1 and 3 St John's Close are visible from Dennis Street and frame the view out of the conservation area toward the Church of St John the Baptist. Other properties on St John's Close do not contribute to this view; 5 St John's Close is a bungalow.</p>

Consultee	Consultee's response	NWLDC officer comments
Householder Dennis Street	Online comment 30 July (late response). Supported the proposed conservation area, but considered it "a shame that recent housing developments were allowed prior to this being considered".	Agreed; the householder's observations are noted.
Householder 33 Dennis Street	Letter 30 July (late response). The householder owns 33 Dennis Street and a field on the S side of Dennis Street. They objected to the inclusion of their property in the conservation area, asserting that the field has "no historic interest".	Not agreed. 33 Dennis Street is one of half-a-dozen Georgian properties that contribute strongly to the area's architectural and historic interest. The field should be included in the conservation area to preserve the rural character of the village; see part 3 of the rapid appraisal.
Householder Dennis Street	Online comment 31 July (late response). Supported the proposed conservation area – "very much so". Found the appraisal "very thorough and accurate".	Agreed.

Consultee	Consultee's response	NWLDC officer comments
Householder Dennis Street	Online comment 6 August (late response). Did not support the proposed conservation area. Considered designation "an unnecessary burden ... in an area of low income". Considered the inclusion of buildings on the statutory list "more than sufficient".	Not agreed. The 2015 indices of deprivation do not recognise this as "an area of low income". Designating a conservation area would introduce additional controls, but these controls would be proportionate to the area's special architectural and historic character. The area contains half-a-dozen Georgian properties that warrant a degree of protection but have not been designated as listed buildings.
Householder Dennis Street	Email 10 August (late response). Supported the "concept" of the proposed conservation area.	Agreed.